

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/618,296	07/11/2003	Raymond Mark Nuber	13075US01 (22-0152)	4360	
POSZ LAW C	7590 05/28/2908 ROUP, PLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
12040 SOUTH	I LAKES DRIVE	GONZALEZ, AMANCIO			
SUITE 101 RESTON, VA	20191	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_								
Application No. 10/618,296		Applicant(s)						
		NUBER, RAYMOND MARK						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	AMANCIO GONZALEZ	2617						

	AMANCIO GONZALEZ	2617							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe</li> </ol>	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this lication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satustry period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS For the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWENTY OF								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nurder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filemay reduced any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7040 in									
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(e)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(e).									
AMENDMENTS	historian ta tha data af filoso a balaf								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ol>									
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	be entered and an e	planation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>40-59</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment on claim 49 raises new issues because it changes the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration.